(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 797 /2005

PETITIONER Smt. Kamini Sinde (Jadhav)
aged about 33 years
W/o Shri Rajendra Jadhav,
R/o Sewaji Bhawan, Masanganj,
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Ministry of Health and Family
Welfare Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur(C.G.)

2. The Director,
Ministry of Health and Family
Welfare Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur(C.G.)

3. The State of Madhya Pradesh
Through its Secretary,
Ministry of Health and Family
Welfare Department,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

4. Union of India,
Through its Secretary,
Ministry of Personnel and Public
Grievance and Pension, Lok Nayak
Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi

5. Chief Medical and Health Officer
Bilaspur (C.G)

P.R. No. 821/05
Presented by Shri Rajay Ketkeshy
dated 28.2.05

RECEIVED COPY
28-2-05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particular of the Petitioner :-

As mentioned above in the cause title.

2. Particulars of the respondents :-

As mentioned in the cause title.

251

A3

A2

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 797/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10-11-2005 :

Shri Parag Kotecha, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri S.S. Rajput, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1,2 & 5.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Counsel for Central Govt./ respondent No.4.

The petitioner was appointed in the year 1993 as Auxiliary Nursing Midwife (A.N.M.) in village Moch, Community Health Center, Takhatpur, and Chief Medical Officer, District Bilaspur. The petitioner was married on 20-04-2000 with one Shri Rajendra Jadhav, who is working as Office Assistant Grade - III in Sub Station Division, Madhya Pradesh Electricity Board, Nayagaon, Jabalpur. The petitioner has opted for State of M.P. ~~from original State of Chhattisgarh~~ under the provisions of M.P. Reorganization Act, 2000. The petitioner obtained the allocation of her services to the State of M.P. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the State of Chhattisgarh and State of M.P. have no objection, if the petitioner is allocated to the State of M.P.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Counsel for Union of India has not taken any steps for passing the order pursuant to the no objection granted by both the State Governments. The petitioner has filed a representation before the respondent No.4 / Union of India on 22-10-2005.

The petitioner seeks a direction of this Court against the respondent No.4 for expeditious disposal of the representation.

Accordingly, respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide the representation within a period of six weeks from the receipt of this order.

In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

[पीछे देखिये